

1512 S BATAVIA AVENUE  
GENEVA, IL 60134  
630-232-0104

An ALION Technical Center

RIVERBANK.ALIONSCIENCE.COM

FOUNDED 1918 BY  
WALLACE CLEMENT SABINE

## Test Report

FOR: **Focal Point LLC**  
Chicago, IL

**Sound Absorption**  
**RAL-A18-274**

CONDUCTED: 2018-08-28

Page 1 of 9

ON: Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing

### TEST METHOD

Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories™ is accredited by the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) as an ISO 17025:2005 Laboratory (NVLAP Lab Code: 100227-0) and for this test procedure. The test reported in this document conformed explicitly with ASTM C423-17: "Standard Test Method for Sound Absorption and Sound Absorption Coefficients by the Reverberation Room Method." The specimen mounting was performed according to ASTM E795-16: "Standard Practices for Mounting Test Specimens During Sound Absorption Tests." A description of the measuring procedure and room qualifications is available upon request.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIMEN

The test specimen was designated by the manufacturer as Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing. A full internal inspection performed on the test specimen by Riverbank personnel verified the manufacturer's description.

#### Test Specimen

---

Trade Name: Aircore Blade  
Material: Polyethylene terephthalate felt  
Dimensions: 7 @ 2425.7 mm (95.5 in.) x 282.58 mm (11.125 in.)  
Thickness: 27.94 mm (1.1 in.)  
Average Unit Weight: 1.95 kg (4.29 lbs)  
Overall Weight: 13.61 kg (30 lbs)

## Test Report

**Focal Point LLC**  
2018-08-28

**RAL-A18-274**  
Page 2 of 9

### Physical Measures (per unit)

Dimensions: 2.43 m (95.5 in) wide by 0.28 m (11.125 in) long  
Thickness: 0.03 m (1.1 in)  
Weight: 1.95 kg (4.29 lbs)

### Test Environment

Room Volume: 291.98 m<sup>3</sup>  
Temperature: 21.9 °C ± 0.0 °C  
Relative Humidity: 57.9 % ± 1.0 %  
Barometric Pressure: 98.6 kPa

Each sound absorbing unit had an absorptive area (all exposed surfaces) of 1.52 m<sup>2</sup> (16.38 ft<sup>2</sup>). The total absorptive area (all exposed surfaces) of all sound-absorbing units was 10.66 m<sup>2</sup> (114.69 ft<sup>2</sup>). The array of units covered 6.73 m<sup>2</sup> (72.45 ft<sup>2</sup>) of chamber floor surface (total treated area).

### MOUNTING METHOD

Type J Mounting: The specimen is an array of spaced sound absorbing baffles suspended from cables such that the bottom surface of the baffles is located approximately 1066.8 mm (42 in.) above the horizontal test surface. This approximates the mounting method of a typical ceiling baffle installation. The baffles were evenly distributed in a single row, spaced 457.2 mm (18 in.) on center.

**Test Report**

**Focal Point LLC**  
2018-08-28

**RAL-A18-274**  
Page 3 of 9



Figure 1 - Specimen mounted in test chamber



Figure 2 - Detail of individual baffle

1512 S BATAVIA AVENUE  
GENEVA, IL 60134  
630-232-0104

An ALION Technical Center

RIVERBANK.ALIONSCIENCE.COM

FOUNDED 1918 BY  
WALLACE CLEMENT SABINE

## Test Report

**Focal Point LLC**  
2018-08-28

**RAL-A18-274**  
Page 4 of 9

### TEST RESULTS

Note: There is currently no standardized method for calculating Absorption Coefficients from spaced object absorbers. The sound absorption performance of spaced object absorbers should not be compared directly with specimens tested as a single rectangular area (e.g. mounting types A, E, etc.).

1/3 Octave Center

Frequency (Hz)	Total Specimen Absorption		Absorption per Unit	
	(m <sup>2</sup> )	(Sabins)	(m <sup>2</sup> / Unit)	(Sabins / Unit)
100	0.79	8.52	0.11	1.22
** 125	0.94	10.15	0.13	1.45
160	0.96	10.32	0.14	1.47
200	1.39	15.00	0.20	2.14
** 250	2.28	24.57	0.33	3.51
315	3.01	32.37	0.43	4.62
400	3.11	33.45	0.44	4.78
** 500	3.39	36.49	0.48	5.21
630	4.03	43.41	0.58	6.20
800	4.77	51.40	0.68	7.34
** 1000	5.71	61.43	0.82	8.78
1250	6.53	70.27	0.93	10.04
1600	7.07	76.10	1.01	10.87
** 2000	7.74	83.27	1.11	11.90
2500	7.99	86.01	1.14	12.29
3150	8.14	87.61	1.16	12.52
** 4000	8.23	88.62	1.18	12.66
5000	8.24	88.66	1.18	12.67

Tested by   
Marc Sciaky  
Experimentalist

Report by   
Malcolm Kelly  
Acoustical Test Engineer

Approved by   
Eric P. Wolfram  
Laboratory Manager



RIVERBANK ACOUSTICAL LABORATORIES IS ACCREDITED BY NVLAP (LAB CODE 100227-0) FOR ACOUSTICAL TESTING SERVICES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ISO/IEC 17025:2005 AND FOR THIS PROCEDURE. THIS REPORT MUST NOT BE USED BY THE CLIENT TO CLAIM PRODUCT CERTIFICATION, APPROVAL, OR ENDORSEMENT BY RAL, NVLAP, NIST, OR ANY AGENCY OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. THIS REPORT SHALL NOT BE MODIFIED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF RAL. THE RESULTS REPORTED APPLY ONLY TO THE SPECIFIC SAMPLE SUBMITTED FOR TESTING; RAL ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF ANY OTHER SAMPLE.

Digitally signed by Eric P. Wolfram  
DN: cn=Eric P. Wolfram, o=Alion Science & Technology, ou=Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories, email=ewolfram@alionscience.com, c=US  
Date: 2018.10.14 11:31:45 -0500


Test Report

Focal Point LLC  
2018-08-28

RAL-A18-274  
Page 5 of 9

SOUND ABSORPTION REPORT

Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing



## Test Report

**Focal Point LLC**  
2018-08-28

**RAL-A18-274**  
Page 6 of 9

### APPENDIX A: Extended Frequency Range Data

Specimen: Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing (See Full Report)

*The following non-accredited data were obtained in accordance with ASTM C423-17, but extend beyond the defined frequency range of 100Hz to 5,000Hz. These unofficial results are representative of the RAL test environment only and intended for research & comparison purposes.*

1/3 Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz)	Total Specimen Absorption		Absorption Per Unit	
	(m <sup>2</sup> )	(Sabins)	(m <sup>2</sup> / Unit)	(Sabins / Unit)
31.5	1.30	13.96	0.19	1.99
40	-0.05	-0.54	-0.01	-0.08
50	-0.41	-4.40	-0.06	-0.63
63	-0.31	-3.29	-0.04	-0.47
80	0.81	8.69	0.12	1.24
100	0.79	8.52	0.11	1.22
125	0.94	10.15	0.13	1.45
160	0.96	10.32	0.14	1.47
200	1.39	15.00	0.20	2.14
250	2.28	24.57	0.33	3.51
315	3.01	32.37	0.43	4.62
400	3.11	33.45	0.44	4.78
500	3.39	36.49	0.48	5.21
630	4.03	43.41	0.58	6.20
800	4.77	51.40	0.68	7.34
1000	5.71	61.43	0.82	8.78
1250	6.53	70.27	0.93	10.04
1600	7.07	76.10	1.01	10.87
2000	7.74	83.27	1.11	11.90
2500	7.99	86.01	1.14	12.29
3150	8.14	87.61	1.16	12.52
4000	8.23	88.62	1.18	12.66
5000	8.24	88.66	1.18	12.67
6300	8.47	91.21	1.21	13.03
8000	8.77	94.43	1.25	13.49
10000	8.78	94.49	1.25	13.50
12500	9.49	102.18	1.36	14.60

1512 S BATAVIA AVENUE  
GENEVA, IL 60134  
630-232-0104

An **ALION** Technical Center

RIVERBANK.ALIONSCIENCE.COM

FOUNDED 1918 BY  
WALLACE CLEMENT SABINE

**Test Report**

**Focal Point LLC**  
2018-08-28

**RAL-A18-274**  
Page 7 of 9

**APPENDIX B: Instruments of Traceability**

Specimen: Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing (See Full Report)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Date of Certification</u>	<u>Calibration Due</u>
System 1	Type 3160-A-4/2	System 1	8/9/2018	8/9/2019
Bruel & Kjaer Mic And Preamp A	Type 4943-B-001	2311428	9/22/2017	9/22/2018
Bruel & Kjaer Pistonphone	Type 4228	2781248	8/6/2018	8/6/2019
Omega Digital Temp., Humid. And Pressure Recorder	OM-CP-PRHTemp2000	P97844	2/3/2018	2/3/2019

**APPENDIX C: Revisions to Original Test Report**

Specimen: Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing (See Full Report)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Revision</u>
2019-10-11	Page 4,6: Added absorption results in Sabins per unit

---

END

FOR: **Focal Point LLC**  
Chicago, IL

Report Referenced: **RAL-A18-274**  
Page 1 of 2

CONDUCTED: 2018-08-28

ON: Aircore Blade, 18 in. spacing (See Full Test Report for Details)

### **Appendix C to ASTM C423 Sound Absorption Test**

Non-standard calculation of equivalent NRC Rating and Absorption Coefficients from spaced absorbers.

At this time ASTM C423 does not provide a standard method for determining absorption coefficients of spaced object absorbers. Tests of a set of sound absorbing objects spaced apart from each other will yield higher absorption rates than a specimen joined together as a single patch (A-Mount or E-Mount). For this reason it is unfair to provide NRC or absorption coefficient ratings for specimens that consist of a spaced set of absorbers. Despite this, the architectural industry has expressed great demand for a simple "single number" rating for these treatments. Likewise, acoustical consultants desire equivalent absorption coefficient data for use in acoustical modeling programs. The following is an attempt to appease these demands until ASTM develops a standard method for calculation. Several alternate non-standard calculation methods are provided. Riverbank Acoustical Laboratories prefers method 1.

#### **Method 1) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from total test surface area covered.**

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the total surface area of the test surface covered by the suspended baffles, including intermediate spaces. The baffle rigging covered  $6.73 \text{ m}^2$  ( $72.45 \text{ ft}^2$ ) of horizontal test surface area. Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This may be the most accurate method for comparing baffle arrays to ceiling tile products. In acoustical modeling applications, the apparent sound absorption coefficient data can be assigned to a single horizontal surface or plane in acoustical modeling software for approximation of baffle array performance (assuming baffle spacing is similar to that tested).

#### **Method 2) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from total exposed surface area of specimen.**

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the total surface area of all exposed specimen faces ( $1.52 \text{ m}^2$  ( $16.38 \text{ ft}^2$ ) per baffle x 7 baffles =  $10.66 \text{ m}^2$  ( $114.69 \text{ ft}^2$ ) total surface area). Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This method shows the actual absorption occurring at the exposed surfaces, but does not provide a fair comparison with materials mounted as a uniform patch (in A-mount or E-mount).

#### **Method 3) Apparent Sound Absorption Coefficient calculated from one face per baffle.**

The total sound absorption yielded by the specimen is divided by the surface area of one side of one large face for each baffle in the specimen ( $0.69 \text{ m}^2$  ( $7.38 \text{ ft}^2$ ) per baffle x 7 baffles =  $4.80 \text{ m}^2$  ( $51.65 \text{ ft}^2$ ) total surface area). Apparent Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC) rating and Sound Absorption Average (SAA) figures are calculated from this data based on the methods described in ASTM C423-17. This method is favored by some material manufacturers since it yields very high NRC figures, but does not provide a fair comparison with other ceiling tile or wall panel products.



FOR: **Focal Point LLC**  
CONDUCTED: 2018-08-28

Report Referenced: **RAL-A18-274**  
Page 2 of 2

**Appendix D: Data** Note: See full test report for details of mounting position, spacing and configuration as these parameters greatly affect sound absorption performance.

Specimen Absorption (SI)			Method 1	Method 2	Method 3
Freq. (Hz)	m <sup>2</sup>	m <sup>2</sup> / Unit	Apparent Abs. Coefficient From Total Coverage Area	Apparent Abs. Coefficient From Total Exposed Surface Area	Apparent Abs. Coefficient From One Face/Baffle
31.5	1.30	0.19	0.19	0.12	0.27
40	-0.05	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.01
50	-0.41	-0.06	-0.06	-0.04	-0.09
<b>63</b>	-0.31	-0.04	-0.05	-0.03	-0.06
80	0.81	0.12	0.12	0.08	0.17
100	0.79	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.16
<b>125</b>	0.94	0.13	0.14	0.09	0.20
160	0.96	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.20
200	1.39	0.20	0.21	0.13	0.29
<b>250</b>	2.28	0.33	0.34	0.21	0.48
315	3.01	0.43	0.45	0.28	0.63
400	3.11	0.44	0.46	0.29	0.65
<b>500</b>	3.39	0.48	0.50	0.32	0.71
630	4.03	0.58	0.60	0.38	0.84
800	4.77	0.68	0.71	0.45	1.00
<b>1,000</b>	5.71	0.82	0.85	0.54	1.19
1,250	6.53	0.93	0.97	0.61	1.36
1,600	7.07	1.01	1.05	0.66	1.47
<b>2,000</b>	7.74	1.11	1.15	0.73	1.61
2,500	7.99	1.14	1.19	0.75	1.67
3,150	8.14	1.16	1.21	0.76	1.70
<b>4,000</b>	8.23	1.18	1.22	0.77	1.72
5,000	8.24	1.18	1.22	0.77	1.72
6,300	8.47	1.21	1.26	0.80	1.77
<b>8,000</b>	8.77	1.25	1.30	0.82	1.83
10,000	8.78	1.25	1.30	0.82	1.83
12,500	9.49	1.36	1.41	0.89	1.98
<b>Apparent NRC:</b>			<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Apparent SAA:</b>			<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.99</b>

Prepared by   
Malcolm Kelly  
Acoustical Test Engineer